

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,441	06/25/2003	Daniel P. Becker	01136/1/US (6794-000057/U	4396	
28997	7590 09/24/2004		EXAM	INER	
HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C 7700 BONHOMME, STE 400 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105			CHANG, CELIA C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			DATE MAH ED. 00/24/200	DATE MAILED: 00/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/603,441	BECKER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Celia Chang	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute. Cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u>	June 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-226</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-226</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International Burea 		received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received			
	3000000				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 .				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview S Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,441

Art Unit: 1625

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-226 are in the case.

2.. Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 62, 112-117, 126-130, 143-144, 204, 208, 212, 214, drawn to A²-A³ is pyran, E²-E⁴ are nonheteorcyclic compounds classified in class 549, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Generic claims 1-15, 18, 22-23, 31-54, 57-61, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-203, 205, 207, 209, 211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.
- II. Claims 181, 190, 198, 206, 210, drawn to A²-A³ is pyran, E²-E⁴ is 5 membered heterocyclic ring compounds classified in class various, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Generic claims 1-15, 24-30, 55-56, 63-64, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.
- III. Claims 64, 66, 69, drawn to A²-A³ is pyran, E²-E⁴ is 6 membered heterocyclic ring compounds classified in class various, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Generic claims 1-15, 24-30, 55-56, 63-64, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.
- IV. Claims 16-17, drawn to A²-A³ is cyclohexyl, classified in class various, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Generic claims 1-15, 18, 22-23, 31-54, 57-

Application/Control Number: 10/603,441

Art Unit: 1625

Page 3

- 61, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-203, 205, 207, 209, 211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.
- V. Claim 19, drawn to A²-A³ is thiocyclohexyl, classified in class various, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Generic claims 1-15, 18, 22-23, 31-54, 57-61, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-203, 205, 207, 209, 211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.
- VI. Claims 20-21, 54 in part, drawn to A²-A³ is piperidine, E²-E⁴ are nonheteorcyclic compounds, classified in class 546, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Generic claims 1-15, 18, 22-23, 31-54, 57-61, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-203, 205, 207, 209, 211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.
- VII. Claims 20-21, 56 in part, drawn to A²-A³ is piperidine, E²-E⁴ is 6 membered heterocyclic ring compounds classified in class various, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Generic claims 1-15, 24-30, 55-56, 63-64, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.
- VIII. Claims 20-21, 73 in part, drawn to A²-A³ is piperidine, E²-E⁴ is 5 membered heterocyclic ring compounds classified in class various, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Generic claims 1-15, 24-30, 55-56, 63-64, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-211, 215-222, 226 will be prosecuted to the extend of the elected compounds.

Art Unit: 1625

- IX. Claims 1-15, 18, 22-30, 31-61, 63-64, 65-111, 118-125, 131-142, 145-203, 205, 207, 209, 211, 215-222, 226 drawn to remaining compounds not covered by groups I-VIII, classified in class various, various, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species is also required. Classification can only be determined upon a species election. Further restriction will be required.
- X. Claims 223-225, drawn to method of treating conditions associated with pathologically excessive matrix metalloprotease activity, TNF-α convertase....etc, classified in class 514, subclass various, depending on species election. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed compound for a single disclosed disease/pathology is also required. Further restriction will be required.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Groups I-IX are independent and distinct compounds for no common core among the various groups can be identified. Lacking common core, the search for each different core structure is not coextensive as evidenced by the diversity of classification. The compounds of each group also differ in elements, bonding arrangement and chemical property to such an extend as to not being in a general class of compounds recognized in the chemical art.

Inventions I-IX and X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product see US 5,994,312.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be **allowable**, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai; In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996).

Art Unit: 1625

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include all the limitations of the product claims. Applicants are reminded of propriety of process of use claims in consideration of the "reach-trough" format, which is drawn to mechanistic, receptor binding or enzymatic functionality. Reach through claims are considered lacking of descriptive and enabling support from the specification. Thus, rejoinable process of use claims are those with particular disease named with efficacy support from the specification for treating the particular disease. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Filing of appropriate terminal disclaimer in anticipation of a rejoinder may speed prosecution and the process of rejoinder.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-0679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OACS/Chang

Celia Chang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625